















SUSTAINABLE REVITALIZATION OF DEGRADATED BUILDINGS AND AREAS



SUSTAINABLE REVITALIZATION OF DEGRADATED BUILDINGS AND AREAS

REVITALIZATION: THREATS

BOGUSŁAW SZMYGIN

LUBLIN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Revitalization in Poland has brought many positive effects, especially in the urban sphere.

It should be noted, however, that the revitalization process involves threats which proper identification will allow the process to be carried out appropriately.

- Threats related to the revitalization planning stage,
- Threats related to the organization and participants of revitalization,
- Threats related to the implementation of revitalization,
- Threats related to the monitoring and evaluation of revitalization

Threats related to the revitalization planning stage:

- No analysis of crisis phenomena in the context of the entire city,
- Designated revitalization area is too large,
- No adjustment of planned activities to the revitalization objectives,

Threats related to the revitalization planning stage:

- No social participation while creating revitalization program,
- Inconsistency between revitalization and municipal policies,
- Lack of appropriate legal instruments

Threats related to the organization and participants of revitalization:

- No participation of institutional stakeholders,
- Perceiving revitalization as a random process which can be carried out within short period of time,
- Insufficient flow of information and no coordination between the stakeholders,

Threats related to the implementation of revitalization:

- Lack of public funds /internal and external/
- Rapid raising and spending funds,
- Lack of private funds,

Threats related to the implementation of revitalization:

- Investments degrading spatial order and devastating cultural heritage, which are the potential of a revitalized area,
- Gentrification

Threats related to the monitoring and evaluation of revitalization:

- No indicators of objectives,
- Defining indicators that describe external phenomena instead of revitalization actions,
- No evaluation of revitalization,
- Conducting evaluation in order to demonstrate a preestablished success instead of the quality of revitalization





Project "SURE - Sustainable Urban Rehabilitation in Europe" implemented in frames of Erasmus+ Programme Key Action 2: Strategic Partnership Projects Agreement n° 2016-1-PL01-KA203-026232

This publication has been funded within support from the European Commission.

Free copy.

This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union







Project "SURE - Sustainable Urban Rehabilitation in Europe" implemented in frames of Erasmus+ Programme Key Action 2: Strategic Partnership Projects Agreement n° 2016-1-PL01-KA203-026232

